## **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CHECKLIST**

#### A Technical Assistance Tool From Growth Management Services

## Name and address of city or county:

# Staff contact, phone, and e-mail address:

#### **Instructions:**

This checklist is intended to help jurisdictions update their comprehensive plan, as required by RCW 36.70A.130(4). We encourage but do not require jurisdictions to complete the checklist and return it to Growth Management Services (GMS). This checklist is for local governments fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA), not for those planning for resource lands and critical areas only. For general information on update requirements, refer to Technical Bulletins 1.2 and 1.4.1. For Q&A and a map of the smaller, slower-growing cities and counties eligible for a three-year update deadline extension under the Growth Management Act Timelines Bill (ESSB 6427) see GMS's Timelines Q&A [NEW in 2006].

**Bold items are a GMA requirement**. Other items may be requirements of other state or federal laws, best practices, or ideas to consider. Highlighted items are links to Internet sites.

Submit proposed plans or amendments to GMS for review 60-days prior to adoption [RCW 36.70A.106(1)]. Submit adopted items with a copy of the signed adopting ordinance to GMS within 10 days of adoption [RCW 36.70A.106(2)]. All submittals should be sent to:

reviewteam@cted.wa.gov or Growth Management Services Attn: Review Team P.O. Box 42525 Olympia, WA 98504-2525

If you have questions, call GMS at (360) 725-3000.

Please send grant deliverables directly to the Technical and Financial Assistance Team at *gmsgrants@cted.wa.gov*.

#### **Checklist Topics:** Land Use 2-8 Housing 8 Capital Facilities 10 Utilities 12 Rural 12 Transportation 13 **Economic Development** 15 Park and Recreation 16 Shoreline 16 **Essential Public Facilities** 17 **Optional Elements** 18 Consistency 18 **Public Participation** 18 Amendments 18

Con	Comprehensive plan provisions Page # a		nd how d in plan	Update action, if needed
		addressed	a iii piaii	needed
Enclosures to submit to GMS:			Important Dates:	
	Cover Letter noting material type, contact information and important	dates;	Date of planning commission public hearing:	
	Two copies of proposed plan or amendment (electronic format encou	raged);	paone nea	g.
	Outline of the public participation process (completed and scheduled	);	Date of Co	ouncil or Commission
	Outline of State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) compliance proce (enclosing all SEPA documents not previously submitted to GMS);	ess	public hearing:	
	Outline of coordination efforts with adjacent jurisdictions to ensure cand	onsistency;	Anticipated date of Council or Commission adoption (must be at	
	For adopted items, the signed ordinance that includes in the findings of fact a description of the public participation process; and for updates, a statement that the plan and development regulations has been reviewed and updated per RCW 36.70A.130(4).			ays from date CTED

	<b>1.</b> The <b>Land Use Element</b> should be consistent with countywide planning policies (CWPPs) and RCW 36.70A.070(1), and should consider WAC 365-195-305, 335, 400, 410, and 430.			
a.	Does the element include goals and policies relating to land use, urban growth and population growth, stormwater and critical areas (unless in separate element), natural resource lands (if applicable), and lands for public purposes?	☐ Goals and policies		
b.	Does the element include a future land use map (or maps)?	☐ Land use map		
	Maps could fulfill the requirement to clearly show the general distribution of land, where appropriate, for agriculture, timber production, housing, commerce, industry, recreation, open spaces, general aviation airports, public utilities, public facilities, and other land uses. [RCW 36.70A.070(1)]			
	Future land use maps should clearly show city limits and urban growth area (UGA) boundaries. [RCW 36.70A.110(6)] Include a table showing which zones implement which land use designations and the total acreage in each land use designation.			
	Does the element consider planning approaches that increase physical activity, such as neighborhood commercial nodes to allow walking and cycling to local services, transit-oriented development, linear parks and trails network, and siting schools and other public facilities within neighborhoods to allow easy walking? [RCW 36.70A.070(1) (AMENDED in 2005)]	☐ Planning for physical activity		

1			
Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
1		T	T
c.	Does the plan indicate the population for which it is planning and is this projection used consistently in the plan?  Is the population growth projected in the comprehensive plan consistent with the Washington Office of Financial Management forecast for the county or the county's sub-county allocation of that forecast? [RCW 43.62.035] If not, what is the rationale for using another figure?	☐ Population projection uses latest forecast	
	For counties: What is the percentage of county-wide population growth allocated for urban growth areas? Is this allocation consistent with GMA goals of encouraging urban growth in urban areas, reducing sprawl, and ensuring public facilities and services are efficiently provided?		
d.	As required by RCW 36.70A.070(1), does the Land Use Element include population densities, building intensities, and estimates of future population growth?	Estimated population capacity and	
	GMS suggests including the range of dwelling units per acre allowed in each land use designation and/or implementing zones as a projection of existing and projected development capacity. Review WAC 365-195-305(2)(a-k) for a recommendation of how to meet the requirement.	appropriate densities	
	If a buildable lands analysis has been completed, are measures needed to ensure appropriate densities will result? Have such measures been adopted?	☐ Reasonable measures adopted if needed	
	Buildable lands analysis was required by RCW 36.70A.215 in the 6 counties with 2004 update deadlines. GMS's Buildable Lands Program Guidelines has a list of measures.		

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
e.	As required by RCW 36.70A.130(3), have urban densities and urban growth areas (UGAs) been reviewed every ten years?  This may be done as part of an update under RCW 36.70A.130(4). Review WAC 365-195-335 and WAC 365-195-630(3) for suggestions on how to decide if the UGA is appropriately sized for the planned population. Supporting information should include: selected population growth forecast scenario [RCW 43.62.035]; population allocation and percentage of land devoted to urban, rural, and resource uses (counties) [RCW 36.70A.070(1)]; land capacity analysis for UGAs, and changes to UGAs with reasons for change. [RCW 36.70A.110 and RCW 36.70A.130(3)] By definition, urban growth areas are all unincorporated areas so designated by a county and all incorporated cities and towns. [RCW 36.70A.110 and WAC 365-195-335]  Other issues to consider:  Can the jurisdiction adequately provide urban services to an expanded UGA? Look to the CWPPs as possible guidance to determine whether a UGA expansion is needed and how this process should be conducted.	Page # and how addressed in plan  UGA review (required every 10 years)	Update action, if needed
	•		
	Do urban growth areas (incorporated or not) provide for achieving urban densities, services, and uses? Do policies and regulations encourage urban growth in urban areas and reduce sprawl?		
	It is recommended that UGAs not be expanded into areas where urbanization may have a significant adverse impact on critical areas.		
	If a county designates a fully contained community (FCC), part of the county's population allocation should be reserved for the FCC. [RCW 36.70A.350(2)]		
f.	Does the plan identify lands useful for public purposes such as utility corridors, transportation corridors, landfills, sewage treatment facilities, stormwater management facilities, recreation, schools, and other public uses? [RCW 36.70A.150 and WAC 365-195-430]	☐ Public use lands	
	<b>Has a list of acquisitions been developed</b> with a timeline and budget for acquiring lands useful for public purposes under RCW 36.70A.150? [The list need not be part of the comprehensive plan.]	☐ List of acquisitions	
g.	Does the plan identify open space corridors within and between urban growth areas, including lands useful for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails, and connection of critical areas? [RCW 36.70A.160]	Open space corridors	

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
h.	If there is an airport within or adjacent to the jurisdiction, does the plan include policies, land use designations, and zoning to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to general aviation airports? [RCW 36.70.547 (NEW in 1996)]  Have the plan and regulations been filed with the Aviation Division of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)? [RCW 36.70.547 (NEW in 1996)]	□ No incompatible uses near airports □ Plan filed with WSDOT	
	Does the plan allow the siting and expansion of general aviation airports according to local provisions and state requirements for siting essential public facilities? [RCW 36.70A.200]		
i.	Is there a U.S. Military Base within or adjacent to the jurisdiction employing 100 or more personnel, and operated by the U.S. Department of Defense? See Map of U.S. bases to help make this determination.		
	If so, does the plan include policies, land use designations, and zoning to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military bases? [RCW 36.70A.530(3) (NEW in 2004)]	☐ No incompatible uses near bases	
	Has the commander of the base been informed of amendments to comprehensive plan and development regulations on lands adjacent to the base? [RCW 36.70A.530(4)]	☐ Base commander notified	

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
ı			
j.	Does the Land Use Element review drainage, flooding, and stormwater run-off in the area and nearby jurisdictions and provide guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those discharges that pollute waters of the state? [RCW 36.70A.70(1)] RCW 90.56.010(26) defines waters of the state.  Do stormwater policies and regulations incorporate the State Department of Ecology's Stormwater Manual for Eastern or Western Washington or the equivalent? This could be one way to demonstrate compliance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) [Section 402] Phase 2 permit requirements.  Examples of best practices for stormwater include:  Provisions to retain natural hydrology and processes, such as limiting effective impervious surfaces, clustering, preserving open spaces and forests, and promoting low impact development practices. See Puget Sound Action Team (PSAT) low impact development (LID) guidance.  Provisions to incorporate relevant land-use recommendations for the watershed.  http://www.ecy.wa.gov/watershed/index.html, and for salmon recovery www.governor.wa.gov/gsro/default.htm.  Policy to adopt a clearing and grading ordinance if not already existing (See GMS's Technical Guidance Document for Clearing and Grading in Western Washington).	□ Stormwater planning	
k.	Does the comprehensive plan designate and protect critical areas using the best available science (BAS) in developing policies to protect the functions and values of critical areas, and giving "special consideration" to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries? [RCW 36.70A.172, WAC 365-190-080, and WAC 365-195-900 through 925 (BAS is NEW in 1995)]  Plan policies should address the five critical areas. [RCW 36.70A.030(5)] See CTED's <i>Critical Areas Assistance Handbook</i> for help. CTED recommends that jurisdictions follow the process in WAC 365-195-915 to document decisions.	☐ BAS used to designate and protect critical areas	
1.	Are there policies to designate and protect wetlands and their buffers?  Are wetlands defined using RCW 36.70A.030(21)?  Are wetlands delineated using the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology's) Wetland Delineation Manual? [RCW 36.70A.175, NEW in 1995]	☐ Wetlands defined under GMA definition  ☐ Wetlands delineation using Ecology's manual	
	See Ecology's resources on wetlands for assistance.		

Comprehensive plan provisions		Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
m.	Does the plan include provisions for protection of the quality and quantity of ground water used for public water supplies? [RCW 36.70A.070(1)]  This is required if jurisdictions draw groundwater for potable water	□ CARAs	
	or need to manage threats to exempt wells. Policies should limit impervious surfaces and regulate hazardous uses in critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs), protect wellhead areas, and consider watershed plans. See Ecology's guidance on <i>Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas</i> (CARAs) and Water Resource Inventory Assessment (WRIA) plans.		
n.	Are policies and land use designations for frequently flooded areas consistent with FEMA guidance? Classifications of frequently flooded areas should include, at a minimum, the 100-year floodplain designations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Flood Insurance Program. [WAC 365-190-080(3)]	☐ Frequently flooded areas regulated using FEMA guidance?	
	Has the link between flooding and ecological functions, such as groundwater recharge, wetlands, etc, been considered?		
0.	Are geologically hazardous areas designated according to criteria in WAC 365-190-080(4)? Is the range of uses limited in geologically hazardous areas, especially excluding critical facilities such as emergency response, hospitals, etc.?	☐ Geohazards	
p.	Are significant fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and corridors designated for protection? See WAC 365-190-080(5) for more information on specific habitat conservation areas, and factors to consider for their designation and protection. Is there coordination with adjacent jurisdictions when habitat areas crossjurisdictional boundaries?	☐ Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas	
	See <a href="http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phslist.htm">http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phslist.htm</a> for lists of priority habitats and species.		
	See <a href="http://www.dnr.wa.gov/forestpractices/watertyping">http://www.dnr.wa.gov/forestpractices/watertyping</a> to use Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR)'s stream typing system?		
q.	Is the jurisdiction affected by an Endangered Species Act (ESA) 4(d) rule? Are requirements of the rule, if applicable, incorporated into comprehensive plan policies? Have species listings affected land use assumptions, capital facilities planning, and permit processes? Will new capital facilities (e.g., new infrastructure, water, and wastewater utilities) be needed to comply with ESA? Have they been included in the Capital Facilities Element of the plan?		
	Will stormwater regulations or clearing and grading ordinances need to be updated to protect fish habitat? Should new policies be added to the plan?		
	If monitoring programs have been adopted to ensure that habitat is being maintained, is there adequate funding for monitoring?		

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
r.	If there is inadequate scientific information about critical areas, has the jurisdiction adopted an "adaptive management" policy and program for addressing this situation?  WAC 365-195-920 and Critical Areas Assistance Handbook		
	provide guidance on the recommended approach for addressing inadequate scientific information.		
S.	Have non-regulatory measures to protect or enhance functions and values of critical areas been considered? These may include public education, stewardship programs, pursuing grant opportunities, water conservation, farm planning, joint planning with other jurisdictions and non-profit organizations, stream and wetland restoration activities, etc. See <i>Critical Areas Assistance Handbook</i> for more information.		
t.	Are the criteria for designating natural resource lands consistent with CTED's Minimum Guidelines to classify agricultural, forest, mineral lands and critical areas? [RCW 36.70A.050, WAC 365-190, and WAC 365-195-400]	☐ Natural resource lands designation criteria consistent	
u.	If forest or agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance are designated inside UGAs, is there a transfer and/or purchase of development rights (TDR, or PDR) program? [RCW 36.70A.060(4)]	☐ TDR or PDR program for forest or agricultural lands inside UGAs	
v.	Are there policies limiting nonagricultural uses to lands with poor soils or otherwise not suitable for agricultural purposes and policies limiting the allowable range of accessory uses to those allowed under RCW 36.70A.177(3) (AMENDED in 2004 and 2006)?	Limit accessory uses on agricultural lands	
w.	Are there policies encouraging the conservation of productive forest and agricultural lands and discouraging incompatible uses? RCW 36.70A.020(8) (AMENDED in 1997)]  Are innovative techniques such as agricultural zoning, cluster zoning, large lot zoning, quarter/quarter zoning, and sliding scale zoning allowed in agricultural lands to conserve lands and encourage the agricultural economy included? [RCW 36.70A.177 (AMENDED in 1997 and 2004)]	Policies encouraging conservation and discouraging incompatible uses on resource lands.	
dat mi de:	Have designated mineral resource lands been reviewed?  W 36.70A.131 requires consideration of new information including a available from the Department of Natural Resources relating to neral resource deposits when reviewing mineral resource land signations. Minerals include sand, gravel and valuable metallic estances. [RCW 36.70A.030(11)]	☐ Review mineral resource lands	

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
y.	If the county is eligible and has designated a major industrial		
	development or master planned location outside of the UGA, is	☐ Major industrial	
	the area consistent with the criteria in RCW 36.70A.365 and	area	
	<b>367?</b> [NEW in 1995; AMENDED in 2004]		
z.	If the county has permitted a master planned resort, have the	☐ Master planned	
	requirements of RCW 36.70A.360 been met?	resort	
2	The Henging Flowent is intended to ensure the vitality and shouseton	of actablished residential n	aighhamhaada Itahauld
	The <b>Housing Element</b> is intended to ensure the vitality and character consistent with relevant CWPPs, RCW 36.70A.070(2), and should con		eignbornoods. It should
a.	Is there a statement of goals, policies, and objectives for the	☐ Strategy for housing	
	preservation, improvement, and development of housing?		
	[RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b)] What strategy and mechanisms are there		
	for achieving these targets?		
	If enacting or expanding affordable housing programs under <u>ESHB</u>		
	2984, does the plan identify certain land use designations within a		
	geographic area where increased residential development will		
	assist in achieving local growth management and housing policies? [RCW 36.70A Section 2 (3)(a)] [NEW in 2006]		
b.	Does the element include an inventory and analysis of existing	☐ Housing needs	
	and projected housing needs that identifies the number of	analysis using latest population	
	housing units necessary to manage projected growth over the planning period? [RCW 36.70A.070(2)(a)] Review CTED's	projection	
	Assessing Your Housing Needs for assistance.	projection	
c.	Does the element identify sufficient land for housing, including	☐ Special housing	
	but not limited to, government-assisted housing, housing for low-income families, manufactured housing, multifamily	planned for and not subject to	
	housing, group homes, and foster care facilities? [RCW	discrimination	
	36.70A.070(2)(c)]	***************************************	
	· · · · · ·		
	No city or county planning under the GMA may enact or maintain ordinances, development regulations, or		
	administrative practices which treat a residential structure		
	occupied by persons with handicaps differently than a similar		
	residential structure occupied by a family or other unrelated		
	individuals. [RCW 36.70A.410; RCW 70.128.140; Washington		
	Laws Against Discrimination, RCW 49.60.222-225; and WAC		
	365-195-310(2)(k)]		

Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
d.	Does the plan make adequate provisions for existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community? [RCW 36.70A.070(2)(d)]	☐ Affordable housing planned	
	WAC 365-195-310 recommends an evaluation of the extent to which the existing and projected market can provide housing at various costs and for various income levels, and an estimation of the present and future populations that would require assistance to obtain housing they can afford. This section should also identify existing programs and policies to promote adequate affordable housing and evaluate their effectiveness.		
	Do affordable housing programs enacted or expanded under ESHB 2984 comply with the requirements of RCW 36.70A Section 2 (2 and 3)? Examples of such programs include but are not limited to: density bonuses within urban growth areas, height and bulk bonuses, fee waivers or exemptions, parking reductions, expedited permitting conditioned on provision of low-income housing units, or mixed use projects. [RCW 36.70A Section 2(1)]. Affordable housing is defined as when the total housing costs, including basic utilities, does not exceed 30 percent of the income limit (50 percent or less of the county median family income, adjusted for family-size for renters or 80 percent or less of the county median family income, adjusted for family size for owners). [RCW 36.70A Section 2(2)(b-c)] [NEW in 2006]		
e.	Are there policies on manufactured housing so that it is not regulated differently than site built housing? [RCW 35.21.684, 35.63.160, 35A.21.312, and 36.01.225 (AMENDED in 2004)]	☐ No discrimination against manufactured	
	A local government may require that manufactured homes (1) new, (2) are set on a permanent foundation, and (3) comply with local design standards applicable to other homes in the neighborhood; but may not discriminate against consumer choice in housing.  [National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974]	housing	
f.	If the city has a population of over 20,000, or the county has a population of over 125,000, does the jurisdiction allow accessory dwelling units (ADUs) in single-family residential areas? [RCW 43.63A.215(3)]	☐ ADUs allowed	
g.	Are family daycare providers allowed in all residential dwellings located in areas zoned for residential or commercial use and are any zoning conditions imposed no more restrictive than conditions imposed on other residential dwellings in the same zone? [RCW 36.70A.450] Family daycare provider means a child daycare provider who regularly provides child daycare for not more than 12 children in the provider's home in the family living quarters. [RCW 74.15.020(1)(f)]	☐ Family daycares allowed	

Comprehensive plan provisions		Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
		1	I
195 all	The <b>Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) Element</b> needs to be consistent with 5-315 and should serve as a check on the practicality of achieving other the services planned, provided, and paid for by the jurisdiction. For clarified in the Utilities Element, or as a subsection of the CFP.	elements of the plan. This	element should cover
a.	Does the element include goals and policies relating to capital facilities to guide decisions? [RCW 36.70A.120]	☐ Goals and policies	
b.	Does the element include an inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, showing the locations and capacities of the capital facilities? [RCW 36.70A.070(3)(a)]	☐ Inventory of existing facilities	
	The inventory could include water, sanitary sewer, stormwater, school, parks and recreation facilities, solid waste management, police and fire protection facilities. The element should include references to water or other system plans, include a brief summary of these plans, indicate location of the facilities, and show where systems currently have unused capacity. Public services and facilities defined in RCW 36.70A.030(12 and 13).		
c.	Is a forecast of the future needs for existing capital facilities included in the element? [RCW 36.70A.070(3)(b)]	☐ Forecast of future needs	
	The forecast should be based on projected population and adopted levels of service (LOS) [urban LOS for cities, rural LOS for counties], population densities, and distribution of growth over the planning period. WAC 365-195-315(2)(b) suggests that jurisdictions include a strategy for providing capital facilities over the 20-year life of the plan. Consider whether the jurisdiction has sufficient water rights or sewage treatment capacity to support the plan's projected 20-year growth, or a strategy to obtain them.		
d.	Does the element indicate proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities? [RCW 36.70A.070(3)(c)]	☐ Planning for future needs	
	WAC 365-195-315(2)(e) suggests that the phasing schedule in the Land Use Element should dictate when and where capital facilities will be needed. Consider if the concurrency ordinance or other mechanisms have been effective in providing public facilities and services concurrent with development.		

Comprehensive plan provisions

		7	+
Co	mprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
e.	Is a six-year plan (at least) included that will finance planned capital facilities within projected funding capacities identifying sources of public money for such purposes? Is the CFP consistent with rest of the comprehensive plan? [RCW 36.70A.070(3)(d) and RCW 36.70A.120]	☐ Six-year funding plan consistent with comp plan	
	WAC 365-195-315(2)(d) suggests that the plan be updated at least biennially so that financial planning remains sufficiently ahead of the present for concurrency to be evaluated. For a list of funding sources, see <a href="http://www.infrafunding.wa.gov/">http://www.infrafunding.wa.gov/</a> .		
	Are there plan provisions establishing policies, levels of service, and regulatory strategies for concurrency as applied to public facilities other than transportation? [WAC 365-195-510(2 and 3)]		
	Can the plan provide adequate facilities in a timely manner? [WAC 365-195-315(2)]		
f.	Is there a policy to reassess the Land Use Element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs and to ensure that the Land Use Element, Capital Facilities Element, and financing plan within the Capital Facilities Element are coordinated and consistent? [RCW 36.70A.070(3)(e)]	☐ Reassessment policy included	
	WAC 365-195-315(2)(f) suggests that the plan set forth how pending applications for development will be affected while such a reassessment is being undertaken.		
g.	If impact fees are used, are the public facilities for which money is to be collected and spent on, included in this element? [RCW 82.02.050(4)]	☐ Impact fees used only for project included in the CFP	

Comprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
	1	
<b>4.</b> The <b>Utilities Element</b> should relate to all services provided, planned for, paid for, and delivered by providers other than the jurisdiction. This should be consistent with relevant CWPPs and RCW 36.70A.070(4), and should consider WAC 365-195-320.		
a. Does the element include goals and policies relating to service arrangements with other providers?	☐ Goals and policies	
b. Does the element show the general location, proposed location, and capacity of all existing and proposed utilities, including, but not limited to, electrical lines, telecommunication lines, and natural gas lines? [RCW 36.70A.070(4)]	☐ General location of existing facilities	
When services such as solid waste, water, or sewer are provided by separate districts or commercial service providers, they should be addressed in this element.		
<ul> <li>WAC 365-195-320(2)(a) states that proposed utilities are understood to be those awaiting approval when the CFP is adopted. WAC 365-195-320(2) suggests:</li> <li>Coordinating with non-municipal service providers to analyze the capacity needs of various utilities over the planning period. [WAC 365-195-320(2)(b)]</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Evaluating whether any utilities should be identified and classified as essential public facilities (EPF) subject to the separate siting process established for EPFs. [WAC 365-195-320(2)(d)]</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Consider policies calling for joint use of transportation rights-of-way and utility corridors, coordination between road construction and utility trenching activities, and coordination of utility planning among adjacent jurisdictions. [WAC 365-195-320(2)(g) and (h)]</li> </ul>		
5. The Rural Element (counties only) should be consistent with RCW 36.70A.070(5), RCW 36.70A.011 RCW 36.70A.030(15 and 16), and should consider WAC 365-195-330]. Rural lands are lands not included in urban growth areas, or designated as agricultural, forest, or mineral resource lands.		
a. Are there goals and policies relating to rural land use and services?	☐ Goals and policies	

		_	
Comprehensive plan provisions		Page # and how	Update action, if
		addressed in plan	needed
b.	Does the element define rural character following the guidance of RCW 36.70A.030, (15), and (16)? RCW 36.70A.070(5) requires that the Rural Element allow rural development, forestry, and agriculture in rural areas and provide for a variety of rural densities, uses, essential public facilities and rural governmental services.	Appropriate uses, densities, and services	
	CTED suggests that jurisdictions consider Growth Management Hearings Board cases for guidance on appropriate rural densities and levels of governmental services and compile a written record explaining how the rural element harmonizes the planning goals and meets the requirements of the Growth Management. [RCW 36.70A.070(5)(a)]	☐ Written record developed	
	Does the element identify the portion of county's population growth which occur in rural lands? [WAC 365-195-330(2)(b)] Is the population density low enough to limit demands on rural services and preserve rural character? <b>Are urban services limited in rural areas?</b> [RCW 36.70A.110(4)]  The plan may include optional techniques such as limited areas of more intensive rural development (LAMIRDs), clustering, density transfer, design guidelines, and conservation easements to accommodate rural uses not characterized by urban growth as	☐ Urban services limited in rural areas	
	specified in RCW 36.70A.070(5)(d) [AMENDED in 2004].		
	The <b>Transportation Element</b> should be consistent with relevant CWP AC 365-195-325.	Ps and RCW 36.70A.07	0(6), and should consider
a.	Does the element include goals and policies for roadways; fixed route and demand response public transit; bicycle and pedestrian travel; water, rail, air, and industrial port and intermodal facilities; passenger and freight rail; and truck, rail, and barge freight mobility? [WAC 365-195-325(2)(a)]	☐ Goals and policies	
b.	Is there an inventory of air, water, and ground transportation facilities and services, including transit alignments, stateowned transportation facilities, and general aviation airports to define existing capital facilities and travel levels as a basis for future planning? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(A)]	☐ Transportation inventory	
	WAC 365-195-325(2)(c) provides recommendations for meeting inventory requirements.		

Con	nprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
		addressed in plan	necucu
c.	Does the element include regionally coordinated level of service (LOS) standards for all arterials and transit routes to gauge the performance of the system, LOS for highways of statewide significance, and LOS for other state highways consistent with the regional transportation plan? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(B) NEW REQUIREMENT IN 1997]  LOS set by WSDOT for highways of statewide significance. LOS	Levels of service for all facilities; local, regional, and state	
	on highways that are not of statewide significance should be designated through the regional transportation planning organization. Local LOS should be defined, such as describing what levels A-F look like, or other ways of measuring LOS.		
d.	Does the element include the land use assumptions used in estimating travel? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(i)]	Land use assumptions	
e.	Does the element identify specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally owned transportation facilities and services that are below an established LOS standard? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(D) (AMENDED in 2005), WAC 365-195-510, and WAC 365-195-835]	□ Concurrency	
	Are concurrency policies consistent with RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) (AMENDED in 2005)? Strategies such as increased public transit, ride sharing programs, and other multimodal strategies may be used to ensure that development does not cause service to decline on a locally owned facility below adopted levels of service.		
	If required by <u>ESSB 6566</u> , has a commute trip reduction plan to achieve reductions in the proportion of single-occupant vehicle commute trips been adopted and submitted to the regional transportation planning organization? Is it consistent with the comprehensive plan? [NEW in 2006]		
f.	Does the element describe existing and planned transportation demand management (TDM) strategies, such as HOV lanes, parking policies, high occupancy vehicle subsidy programs, etc.? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vi)].	☐ TDM Strategies	
g.	Does the element include a pedestrian and bicycle component? RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vii) (AMENDED in 2005) This should inventory existing pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and identify and plan improvements for facilities. Improvements could be focused on safe routes to school and hazard areas, and should be funded in capital facility or transportation improvement plans.	☐ Bicycle and pedestrian planning	
	Guidance and example bicycle and pedestrian plans are available from CTED and WSDOT.		

Con	nprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
			I
h.	Does the element include a forecast of traffic for at least 10 years, based on the Land Use Element, to provide information on the location, timing, and capacity needs of future growth? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(E)]	☐ Traffic forecast	
i.	Does the element identify state and local system expansion needs to meet current and future demands? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(F)]	☐ Future needs	
	Are the needs of state-owned facilities identified in the comprehensive plan consistent with the Washington Transportation Plan? [RCW 47.06]		
j.	Is the transportation funding program coordinated with the CFP, and does it address the deficiencies identified in the system?		
	Does the element analyze the funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources? [RCW $36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(A)$ ]	☐ Funding analysis	
	Is a multiyear financing plan included in the element based on the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, the appropriate parts of which serve as the basis for the six-year street, road, or transit program required by RCW 35.77.010 for cities, RCW 36.81.121 for counties, and RCW 35.58.2795 for public transportation systems? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(B)]	☐ Funding program	
	If probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs, is there a discussion of how additional funding will be raised, or how land use assumptions will be reassessed to ensure that LOS standards will be met? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(C)]	☐ Funding shortfall strategy	
k.	Does the element discuss intergovernmental coordination efforts, including an assessment of the impacts of the transportation plan and land use assumptions on the transportation systems of adjacent jurisdictions? [RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(v)]	☐ Intergovernmental coordination	
1.	Does the element discuss how the transportation plan implements and is consistent with the land use element, and how it is consistent with the regional transportation plan? [WAC 365-195-325(2)(b)]		
m.	Is the plan certified by the regional transportation planning organization? [RCW 47.80.23(3) and 47.80.026]	☐ Plan certified by RTPO	

7. The Economic Development Element is not required because the state has not provided funding to assist in developing it. However, local goals, policies, objectives, and provisions for economic growth and vitality and a high quality of life should be established in the plan, and supporting strategies should be integrated with the land use, housing, utilities, and transportation elements. [RCW 36.70A.070(7)] An Economic Development Element should include:

Comprehensive plan provisions		Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
a.	A summary of the local economy such as population, employment, payroll, sectors, businesses, and sales. [RCW 36.70A.070(7)(a)], Consider gathering data and information for your community data profile pertaining to business, transportation, labor, real estate, utilities, incentives, regulatory, government, and quality of life. See CTED's <i>Guidebook on Economic Development</i> (2005).		
b.	A summary of the strengths and weaknesses of the local economy defined as the commercial and industrial sectors and supporting factors such as land use, transportation, utilities, education, work force, housing, and natural/cultural resources. [RCW 36.70A.070(7)(b)]		
c.	Identification of policies, programs, and projects to foster economic growth and development and to address future needs.  [RCW 36.70A.070(7)(c)]		

**8.** A **Park and Recreation Element** [RCW 36.70A.070(8)] is not required because the state has not provided funding to assist in developing it. However, park, recreation, and open space planning are GMA goals, and it is important to plan for and fund these facilities. CTED's Guidebook *Planning for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space in your Community*, can provide step-by-step assistance. A Parks and Recreation Element should include:

a.	Goals and policies to guide decisions regarding facilities.	
b.	Estimates of park and recreation demand for at least a ten-year period based on adopted levels of service and population growth. [RCW 36.70A.070(8)(a)]	
c.	An evaluation of facilities and service needs over the planning period. [RCW 36.70A.070(8)(b)]	
d.	An evaluation of intergovernmental coordination opportunities to provide regional approaches for meeting park and recreational demand. [RCW 36.70A.070(8)(c)]	
	This element should be consistent with the Capital Facilities	

**9.** The **Shoreline Element** of the comprehensive plan is the goals and policies of the Shoreline Master Program (SMP). [RCW 36.70A.480] The SMP goals and policies may also be included in an Environmental Element. The comprehensive plan and SMP goals and policies should be consistent.

Element as it relates to park and recreation facilities. [RCW

36.70A.070(3)(e)]

Comprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
	*	•
Are SMP goals and policies included in the comprehensive plan? [RCW 36.70A.480]  Currently, critical areas along shorelines are to be designated and protected by the critical areas ordinance (CAO). When a jurisdiction updates its SMP consistent with Ecology's new guidelines (Chapter 173-26 WAC), and according to a schedule in RCW 90.58.080, protection for critical areas within shorelines is transferred from the critical areas ordinance to the SMP. Protection must be at least equal to that from the CAO under the GMA. Since the SMP is required after the GMA update, jurisdictions are advised to consider consistency between the comprehensive plan and shoreline master plans. (NEW IN 2003) See Questions and Answers on ESHB 1933 for assistance.	☐ SMP goals and policies.	
<b>10.</b> Provisions for <b>siting essential public facilities</b> (EPFs) should be conconsider WAC 365-195-340, and 840. This section can be included in the in its own element. Sometimes the identification and siting process for E	e Capital Facilities Element	
a. Does the plan include a process or criteria for identifying and siting essential public facilities? EPFs include those facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as airports, state education facilities, state or regional transportation facilities, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste handling facilities, and in-patient facilities including substance abuse facilities, mental health facilities, group homes, and secure community transition facilities? [RCW 36.70A.200(1)]  WAC 365-195-340 suggests a potential process.	☐ EPF identification and siting process	
b. Have state or regional transportation facilities and services of statewide significance and secure community transition facilities (defined in RCW 71.09.020(14)) been added to list of EPFs? [RCW 36.70A.200 (AMENDED in 1997 and 2001)]	Transportation facilities of statewide significance and secure community transition facilities added to list of essential public facilities	
c. Are there policies that address the statutory requirement that no comprehensive plan may preclude the siting of essential public facilities? [RCW 36.70A.200(5)]	☐ No preclusion policy	
d. Did the jurisdiction consider the Office of Financial Management's list of essential state public facilities that are required or likely to be built within the next six years? [RCW 36.70A.200(4)] (Instructions to find the list are available from GMS)	☐ List considered	

Comprehensive plan provisions	Page # and how addressed in plan	Update action, if needed
	addressed in plan	needed
11. Optional plan elements and sub-area plans may be included in the control of t	comprehensive plan.	
Are additional elements included in the plan, such as energy conservation, historic preservation, natural hazards, or community design? [RCW 36.70A.080 and WAC 365-195-345] These elements should be consistent with all other elements of the plan. Resources: <i>Historic Preservation: A Tool for Managing Growth</i> , CTED, 1994, revised in 2005, <i>Optional Comprehensive Plan Element for Natural Hazard Reduction, CTED, 1999</i> .		
Are any sub-area plans included in the plan, and are they consistent with the other plan elements? [RCW 36.70A.080(2)]		
12 Congistancy is required by the GMA		
a. Are all plan elements consistent with relevant county-wide planning policies (CWPPs) and the GMA. [RCW 36.70A.100 and 210 and WAC 365-195-300(2)(c) and 520] GMS suggests CWPPs be referenced in each element, or be appended to the plan to clearly show consistency. Some jurisdictions use a table to show consistency.	□ CWPPs	
b. Does the plan describe how all elements fit together, such as consistency of plan elements and future land use map, and consistency of land use and capital facilities elements? [RCW 36.70A.070 (preamble)]	☐ Internal consistency	
c. Are there policies directing that capital budget decisions be made consistent with the comprehensive plan? [RCW 36.70A.120]	☐ Budget decisions consistent with plan	
d. Is the plan coordinated with the plans of adjacent jurisdictions? [RCW 36.70A.100, and WAC 365-195-530]	☐ External consistency	
Adjacent jurisdictions should be provided with proposed plan and SEPA documentation.		
13. Public participation, plan amendments and monitoring	T	1
a. <b>Does the plan ensure public participation in the comprehensive planning process?</b> [RCW 36.70A.020(11), .035, and .140]	☐ Public participation	
WAC 365-195-600(2) suggests a list of possible public participation choices.		
b. Does the plan describe the process for making amendments? Is this process coordinated among the county and cities within a county? [RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a), WAC 365-195.630(2), and 865]		
c. Does the plan set out a procedure for adopting emergency amendments and does it define emergency? [RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b)]	☐ Process for plan amendments	

1					
Comprehensive plan provisions		Page # and how	Update action, if		
		addressed in plan	needed		
		•			
d.	Are amendments to be considered no more often than once a	☐ Plan amendments			
	year not including the exceptions described in RCW	no more than once			
	36.70A.130(2)? [AMENDED in 2006]	a year.			
		a y car.			
e.	Is there a process to assure that proposed regulatory or	☐ Process to avoid			
	administrative actions do not result in an unconstitutional	takings			
	taking of private property? [RCW 36.70A.370] See <u>Attorney</u>				
	General's Advisory Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional				
	Takings of Private Property for guidance.				
f.	Is there a plan or program for monitoring how well comprehensive				
	plan policies, development regulations, and other implementation				
	techniques are achieving the comprehensive plan's goals and the				
	goals of the GMA? [WAC 365-195-865(2)]				
	Sould of the Graff. [Wife 505 175 005(2)]				
g.	Is there a plan or program for monitoring how well natural resource				
5.	lands and critical areas ordinances and other implementation				
	•				
	techniques are protecting critical areas?				
h	Does the comprehensive plan and development requisitions define a				
h.	Does the comprehensive plan and development regulations define a				
	process for amending regulations as new information and data				
	becomes available?				